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ABSTRACT

Objectives: Staphylococcus aureus is an opportunistic that causes systemic infections and dental infections in the human being body. This organism increases its resistance to many categories of antibiotics all day and turn out to be more resistant, and this led to a growing feeling of concern in this era. Given this fact, the aims of this study were to determine the frequency of S. aureus in oral infections and to determine the prevalence of MRSA strains and the sensitivity of isolated S. aureus to antibiotics, in patients who attended dental clinics in major public hospitals and private clinics in the city of Sana’a-Yemen.

Subjects and methods: The study was conducted for a year, early in December 2018 and ending in November 2019. The study included 296 patients, 153 male and 143 female, ages 5 to 65, with an average age of 36.2 years. Demographic and clinical data were collected in questionnaire, then pus or oral swabs were collected from patients, cultivated, isolated and identified by standard laboratory techniques. MRSA was ascertained by means of the method of disc diffusion to 1µg of oxicillin disc and 5 µg of methacillin disc; an antimicrobial sensitivity test was carried out by disc diffusion method of selected antibiotics. The oral infections include dental abscesses, periodontal abscesses, gingivitis, periodontitis, dental caries, pulpitis and oral thrush.

Results: Of a total of 296 cultured pus and swabs, only 217 produced a positive culture (73.3%). Gram-positive bacteria formed 67.4% of the total isolates where S. aureus was the predominant pathogen (43.1%). The prevalence of MRSA was 23.5%. There was a higher rate of antibiotic resistance tested in MRSA isolates compared to a lower rate of resistance in MSSA as well as 22.2% of MRSA isolates were vancomycin resistant, while only 11.4% of MSSA were vancomycin resistant.

Conclusion: It can be concluded, S. aureus was the most widespread isolate in dental infections, high rate of MRSA, the appearance of S. aureus isolates resistant to vancomycin and other broad choice of antibiotics have raised MRSA in oral infections into a multi-drug-resistant, making it more and more hazardous in oral infections. Consistent assessment of oral associated infections and observing the pattern of antibiotic sensitivity and strict drug policy for antibiotics are recommended.

Keywords: Antibiotic resistance, dental infection, MRSA, Staphylococcus aureus, Sana’a, Yemen.

INTRODUCTION

The human oral cavity acts as a growth medium for pathogens as a result of its moisture, temperature, and nutritional content such as fats, carbohydrates, and protein. There are numerous categories of dental infections that happen in the patient's oral cavity such as periodontal disease, tooth decay, dental ache, dental plaque, dental abscess, dental calculus, dentin hypersensitivity, hyperdontia, acid erosion, malocclusion, ulcerative gingivitis, dental fluorosis, tooth impaction, acute necrotizing, etc. S. aureus is a presumed pathogen for many oral diseases, such as oral mucositis, periodontitis, peri-implantitis, endodontic infections and even dental caries. S. aureus is a Gram-positive, non-spore forming, non-motile, grape like clusters and the most important coagulase
positive pathogen from *Staphylococci* due to mixture of invasiveness, toxic mediated virulence and antibiotic resistance. Some *S. aureus* strains have developed drug-resistant. Methicillin-resistant *Staph. aureus* (MRSA) are the strains of *S. aureus* that have been resistant to beta-lactam antibiotics, which include penicillins, amoxicillin, ampicillin, methicillin, oxacillin, cephalosporins, etc. The tendency of *S. aureus* to acquire antibiotic resistance led to a global dissemination of clone expressing various antimicrobial resistances. Many bacterial diseases occur in hospitals and in community due to MRSA strains and sometimes lead to death. *S. aureus* infection, including MRSA strains, has long been common in Yemen. Because the arbitrary use of antibiotics is a typical practice, hospital environments are not clean enough and crowding of patients and health workers supports the spread of infectious germs including *S. aureus*. The potential presence of *S. aureus* is especially important in dental infections due to its increased resistance. Therefore it is very logical to check the status of the microbial resistance against the commonly used antibiotics for the treatment of dental infections that occur by *S. aureus*. Considering this, the aims of this study were to determine the frequency of *S. aureus* in oral infections and to identify the prevalence of MRSA strains and antibiotic sensitivity of isolated *S. aureus*, in patients attended the dental clinics at the main general hospitals and private clinics in Sana’a city-Yemen.

**PATIENTS, MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients:** The study was carried out for a year, from December 2018 to November 2019. The study comprised 296 patients, 153 male and 143 female, ages 5 to 65, with an average age of 36.2 years. The selected cases were defined as all patients who had a major complaint of various oral infections and entered the dental clinics previously mentioned. The technique of sampling in the study was case-finding. As for determining the size of the sample, it was relied on taking all patients who attended dental clinics during the study period and estimated one year in which the study materials were collected, which included clinical and demographic data, etc. Demographic and clinical data were collected in a questionnaire. After that pus or oral swabs were collected from patients, cultivated, isolated and identified using standard laboratory methods. The oral infections include dental abscesses, periodontal abscesses, gingivitis, periodontitis, dental caries, pulpitis and oral thrush.

**Cases definition:** All patients enrolled in this study, who had a major complaint of various oral infections and entered dental clinics in the city of Sana’a.

**Data collection and processing:** A questionnaire was filled out for each patient with the patient’s personal and clinical data. This included age, gender, profession and relevant clinical information regarding bacterial and fungal oral infections. Upon initial hospitalization, cultures were obtained from the oral infection sites in order to isolate the causative agents of various bacteria and fungi.

**Antimicrobial susceptibility test:** Antibiotic resistance phenotypes (Methicillin/Oxacillin sensitivity test): All isolates of *S. aureus* were checked for the sensitivity to 1 µg Oxacillin disc and 5 µg Methicillin disc (Difco) by the disk diffusion method that instructed by NCCLS. The resistance breakpoints were ≥ 12 mm to ≤ 10 mm for 1 µg Oxacillin and ≥14 mm to ≤ 10 mm for 5 µg Methicillin. The capacity of extra antibiotic discs to inhibit MRSA or MSSA was estimated according to the instructions provided by NCCLS using commercially available discs that include: Augmentin (AC 30 µg), tetracycline (T,30 µg), erythromycin(E,15 µg), cefoxitoxime (CEF 20 µg), ciprofloxacin(Ci 5 µg), clindamycin(CC, 2 µg), clarithromycin (CI 15 µg) and vancomycin(V, 30 µg). The zone of inhibition produced by *S. aureus* against each antibiotic was measured and interpreted as resistant and susceptible according to standards of Clinical Laboratory and Standards Institute.

**RESULTS**

The positive culture rate was 73.3% and 26.7% of the specimens were negative (Table 1). A hundred and eighty 180 (67.4%) were Gram positive bacteria, 71 (26.6%) were Gram negative bacteria and 16 (6.0%) were *Callicans*. The most frequent microorganism isolated was *S. aureus* (115 isolates), followed by Bacteroides spp (71 isolates) and *Strept. pyogens* (38 isolates) with percentages of 43.1%, 26.6% and 14.2% respectively.

**Table 1: Cultural results of the 296 patients with bacterial and fungal oral infections.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive cultures</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>73.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative cultures</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 shows the susceptibility patterns of *S. aureus* isolates towards the different commonly used antibiotics. The resistant results for MRSA of antibiotics represented in number and percentages are shown in the following order: vancomycin (22.2%), clindamycin (26%), ciprofloxacin (29.7%), cefazoxime (40.7%), caflithromycin (37%), augmentin (55.6%), tetracycline (74%), and erythromycin (23.3%).

**Table 2: Distribution of the 217 positive culture isolates according to their group and genus.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolates</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gram positive bacteria</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>67.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staph. aureus</em></td>
<td>115</td>
<td>43.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Strept. pyogenes</em></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staph. epidermidis</em></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Strept. mutans</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram negative bacteria</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacteroides</em> spp</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeasts</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Candida albicans</em></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The resistant results for MSSA of antibiotics represented in number and percentages are shown in the following order: vancomycin (11.4%), clindamycin (30.3%), ciprofloxacin (22.7%), cefazoxime (30.3%),
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calirithromycin (26.3%), augmentin (30.7%), tetracycline (72.7%), and erythromycin (60.2%).

**DISCUSSION**

Dental patients typically take antibiotics primarily to treat postoperative and secondary infections. In the current study all 115 coagulase positive isolates of S. aureus were subjected to disc diffusion method to 5 μg Methicillin disc and 1 μg Oxacillin disc to determine MRSA; the test results discovered that 23.5% of isolated S. aureus were MRSA strain. The current rate of 23.5% of MRSA in all isolates of S. aureus is lower than the rate reported from Yemen in previous reports in which MRSA was isolated from 55% of health workers in Taiz, Yemen16, also it is very lower than that reported by al-Baidani and others27, among health care workers in Al Hodeida City, Yemen where the MRSA rate was 86%. On the other hand, it was almost similar to that mentioned by Al-Safani et al.,13 (19.3%) among patients attending Military Hospital, Sana’a City; and Alyahawi, and others among patients of some private hospitals in Sana’a City (17.6%),18.

Table 3: The antibiotic sensitivity for 115 isolated MRSA and MSSA for tested antibiotics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
<th>MRSA n=27 (23.5%)</th>
<th>MSSA n=88 (76.5%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augmentin</td>
<td>12 (44.4%)</td>
<td>15 (55.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefotaxime</td>
<td>11 (40.7%)</td>
<td>16 (59.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>19 (70.3%)</td>
<td>8 (29.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarithromycin</td>
<td>17 (63%)</td>
<td>10 (37%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
<td>20 (74%)</td>
<td>7 (26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>18 (66.7%)</td>
<td>9 (23.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
<td>7 (26%)</td>
<td>20 (74%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>21 (77.8%)</td>
<td>6 (22.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HA-MRSA occurred at a higher rate than CA-MRSA in the world, but in Yemen the rates were similar for the HA-MRSA and CA-MRSA (19.4% and 17%, respectively), as mentioned by Al-Safani et al.,13 and Alyahawi et al.,18. This result can be explained by long hospitalization, random use of antibiotics, lack of awareness, and receiving antibiotics before coming to hospital, which are some of the potential predisposing factors for the appearance of MRSA in the hospital and community. Results of current study differ from that reported in the United States of America where a high incidence of MRSA occurred in a hospital-acquired S. aureus infection (HA-MRSA) (59%), compared to a community-acquired infection of S. aureus (17%)19. This difference can be explained by the CA-MRSA biology appearing to be different from the HA-MRSA and the MSSA, which may allow CA-MRSA to cause diseases other than those expected from MSSA20.21. With the advent of HA-MRSA, it is likely that it not only replaced HA-MSSA, but also led to a comprehensive increase in Staph. aureus infection in healthcare settings22,23. In addition, almost all researchers say the same thing that inpatients and outpatients suffer from S. aureus/MRSA infection higher than S. aureus/MSSA due to the widespread prevalence of MRSA in a community environment and hospitals22,24. When comparing MRSA rate with the MSSA rate in S. aureus dental infections, current study result (23.5%) was almost lower than the 30% MRSA reported by Das Manisha et al.,26. Also the prevalence of MRSA (23.5%) was higher than the results of Ayepola et al.,27 who reported 2.4%, as well as Smith et al.,28 6% of MRSA positive isolates were reported in oral infection. Another study by Renvert et al.,29 in Sweden, observed similar results associated with periodontitis patients. According to Kurita et al.,30 dental patients are not the only ones responsible for spreading MRSA bacteria, but a health professional may transfer this pathogen through their tools, so there are consistent guidelines for controlling MRSA as the CDC some standard precautions may be recommended which may help reduce the prevalence of MRSA among dental patients.31 The reason for conducting the current study was to know the prevalence of MRSA and the current antimicrobial profile of S. aureus in order to choose the appropriate empirical treatment for these oral infections. In current study, vancomycin resistance (VRSA) was 22.2% in isolated MRSA. This result differs from that reported in Asian countries where the vancomycin resistance rate was no more than 10%.32 The occurrence of VRSA in Asian countries has also been documented by Kaleem et al.,33 in Pakistan to be 3.3%, 6% in India, by Sonavane and Mathur34, 7.5% in Iran by Mehdinejad et al.,35 and 9% in Jordan are from Al-Zoubi and others36. The current study results revealed that 73% dental S. aureus isolates were found resistant to tetracycline followed by 53.9% to erythromycin, 46.5% to augmenten, and 35.6% to Cefotaxime where low rates of resistant occurred for Ciprofloxacin (24.3%), Clarithromycin (28.7%), and Clindamycin (29.6%) (Table 3). Kim and Lee37 and Das Manisha et al.,36 reported more sensitive strains of S. aureus isolated from the periodontal patients showed sensitivity 95% to ciprofloxacin (vs 75.7%) and 90% to tetracycline (vs 31%), 90% to erythromycin (vs 46.1%), and to 3rd generation cephalosporins 95% (vs 62.4%) that is comparatively higher than the current study. Similar antimicrobial susceptibility results were reported by previous authors33,34,36. The higher resistant rates in Yemen to commonly used antibiotics indicates indiscriminate or haphazard use that may have effect on treatment cost, poor prognosis as well as enhance the bacterial infection and growth virulent pathogens.

**CONCLUSION**

The prevalence of S. aureus in dental patients is very high and showed resistance to commonly used
antibiotics in addition to a high rate of MRSA. Despite these results, the sample size of this study was insufficient and the study period was too short to reveal the actual picture of MRSA involved in dental infection in Sana’a, Yemen. We recommend extensive studies to determine the prevalence of MRSA, genome analysis, identification of toxin gene and other antibiotic resistant gene. Teeth should be brushed regularly, maintain oral hygiene, and consulting with dental doctors to check up the teeth once in a month should be taken to maintain a distance from dental infections.
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