ABSTRACT
Cardiovascular diseases are leading cause of death in western and eastern countries of the world. Hyperlipidemia is one of the strong risk fractions for heart diseases. To evaluate hypolipidemic drugs efficacy, the study was conducted at National hospital, Lahore Pakistan from January 2016 to August 2016. Ninety hyperlipidemiac patients were selected from cardiology and medical wards of the hospital. They were divided in three groups, one at placebo therapy, another on Kalonji and third one on Vitamin B3. After one and half month, significant changes (p value ranging from <0.05 to <0.001) were observed in their LDL and HDL. LDL levels fall progressively below 40 mg/dL. In the Quebec Cardiovascular Study, for every 10% reduction in HDL, risk for CAD increased 13%. Many clinicians believe that low HDL is associated with increased CAD risk because it is a marker for hypertriglyceridemia and elevated remnant particle concentrations. The Prospective Cardiovascular Münster study, however, demonstrated that the increased risk associated with low HDL is independent of serum triglyceride levels. There is considerable controversy about whether one HDL sub fraction is more antiatherogenic than others. At the present time, the preponderance of evidence favors increasing total HDL mass, rather than any one sub fraction of this lipoprotein.
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INTRODUCTION
Coronary artery disease (CAD) occurs when the inside (the lumen) of one or more coronary arteries narrows, limiting the flow of oxygen-rich blood to surrounding heart muscle tissue. Atherosclerosis is the process that causes the artery wall to get thick and stiff. It can lead to complete blockage of the artery, which can cause a heart attack. The disease process begins when LDL deposits cholesterol in the artery wall. The body has an immune response to protect itself and sends white blood cells called macrophages to engulf the invading cholesterol in the artery wall. When the macrophages are full of cholesterol, they are called foam cells because of their appearance. As more foam cells collect in the artery wall, a fatty streak develops between the intima and the media. If the process is not stopped, the fatty streak becomes a plaque, which pushes the intima into the lumen, narrowing the blood flow. With few exceptions, low HDL is an independent risk factor for CAD in case-control and prospective observational studies. In contrast, high HDL levels are associated with longevity and are protective against the development of atherosclerotic disease. In the Framingham Study, risk for CAD increases sharply as HDL levels fall progressively below 40 mg/dL. In the Quebec Cardiovascular Study, for every 10% reduction in HDL, risk for CAD increased 13%. Many clinicians believe that low HDL is associated with increased CAD risk because it is a marker for hypertriglyceridemia and elevated remnant particle concentrations. The Prospective Cardiovascular Münster study, however, demonstrated that the increased risk associated with low HDL is independent of serum triglyceride levels. There is considerable controversy about whether one HDL sub fraction is more antiatherogenic than others. At the present time, the preponderance of evidence favors increasing total HDL mass, rather than any one sub fraction of this lipoprotein.
occupation, residential address, phone/contact number, previous medical history, disease in family history, drug history were recorded in specific Performa. Three groups I, II, and III were made (30 patients in each group). Group-I was allocated for placebo, to take placebo capsule once daily, after breakfast for six weeks. Group-II was advised to take 2 tea spoons of kalonji after breakfast for the period of six weeks. Group-III was on Niacin 2 grams in divided doses, after breakfast, lunch and dinner for 6 weeks. Their base line LDL-cholesterol and HDL-cholesterol level was estimated at the start of research work. Their serum was taken at follow up visits, fortnightly for lipid profile. Data were expressed as the mean±SD and ‘t’ test was applied to determine statistical difference in results. A p-value> 0.05 was considered as non-significant and P-value < 0.001 was considered as highly significant change in the differences. Serum LDL-cholesterol was calculated by formula (LDL-Cholesterol=Total Cholesterol-(Triglycerides/5+HDL-Cholesterol). Serum HDL-cholesterol was determined by using kit Cat. #3022899 by Eli Tech Diagnostic, France.

RESULTS
Numerical values and results of all parameters of participated patients were analyzed biostatistically. In placebo group, LDL-cholesterol decreased from 189.15±3.90 mg/dl to 186.75±2.08 mg/dl, change in the parameter is 2.40 mg/dl. This difference in pretreatment and post treatment value is non-significant, ie; P-value> 0.05. HDL-cholesterol in placebo group increased from 36.11±2.11 mg/dl to 37.17±1.51 mg/dl. The difference in parameter was 1.06 mg/dl. Statistically this change in parameter was nonsignificant, ie; P-value > 0.05. In Nigella sativa group, out of 30 hyperlipidemic patients, 27 patients completed over all study period. LDL-cholesterol in this group decreased from 202.43±1.54 mg/dl to 189.52±2.21 mg/dl. The difference in pretreatment and posttreatment mean values is 12.93 mg/dl. Statistically this change in two mean values is highly significant, with p-value < 0.001. HDL-cholesterol in this group increased from 38.81±3.90 42.19±3.32 mg/dl. Change in two mean values was 3.38 mg/dl. Statistically this change is significant, with probability value <0.01. In group III, 28 patients completed the research. LDL-cholesterol in this group decreased from 212.65±2.32 to 185.61±1.65 mg/dl in six weeks treatment. Change in pre and post treatment mean values is 27.04 mg/dl. Statistically this change is highly significant, i.e., P-value<0.001. HDL-cholesterol increased from 39.19±2.01 to 43.00±3.07 mg/dl in six weeks. Change in two parallel values is 3.49 mg/dl, which is significant with P-value <0.01.

DISCUSSION
There are new guidelines recommended by W.H.O for treatment of hypertension, hyperglycemia, and hyperlipidemia. Guidelines also emphasize on new determinants of prevention of dyslipidemia. In our results treatment with three weeks, Kalonji decreased LDL-cholesterol 12.93 mg/dl by six weeks of treatment. HDL-cholesterol increased 3.38 mg/dl by taking this drug for six weeks. The change in both parameters were significant. In placebo group, LDL-C reduction was 2.40 mg/dl and increase in HDL-C was 1.06 mg/dl with P-value >0.05, which proves non-significant change in results. These results match with Akhondian et al.13 who did prove that Nigella sativa is very effective hypolipidemic drug. He tested the drug on 120 hyperlipidemic and diabetic patients by using Nigella sativa for one month. Their results were highly significant when compared with placebo-controlled group. Our results also match with results of Gillani AH et. al.14 who proved LDL-Cholesterol reduction from 201.6±3.11 mg/dl to 187.16±2.10 mg/dl in fourty hyperlipidemic patients. Their HDL-C increase was 3.98 mg/dl which also matches with our results. Results of our study are in contrast with results of research work conducted by AH BH and Blunden G15. They explained that some active ingredients of Nigella sativa are hypolipidemic but its hypolipidemic effects are very narrow spectrum. Their results show only 2.11 mg/dl change in LDL-C and 0.92 mg/dl increase in HDL-C of 38 rats. Difference in results may be genetic variants of human and rats. Brown BG et. al16 also described phenomenon of genetic variation in pharmacological effects of Nigella sativa. Burits M and Bucar F17 have also mentioned wide variety effects of Nigella sativa with different genetic make ups. Our results also match with results of research work of Dehkordi FR and Kamkhah AF18 and El-Dakhakhany M19. Same mechanism of action of drug Nigella sativa is described by El-Din K et. al.20. In our research Niacin reduced LDL-Cholesterol from 212.65±1.19 mg/dl to 185.61±1.65 mg/dl in six weeks. This reduction in LDL-C was 27.04 mg/dl, which is highly significant change, when analyzed statistically. These results match with results of research work conducted by Afifalo J et. al21 who proved almost same change in LDL-C in 32 hyperlipidemic patients who were cases of secondary hyperlipidemia and used Niacin 2 grams daily for two months. Their LDL-C reduction was 25.55 mg/dl. Their HDL-C increase was 6.65 mg/dl in 2 months. In our results HDL-C increase was 3.81 mg/dl in six weeks use of Niacin. Our results also match with results of research conducted by Whitney EJ et. al22 who proved 27.77 mg/dl reduction in LDL-C in 19 hyperlipidemic patients. Ginsberg HN et. al23 also support our results, as they proved 4.00 mg/dl increase in HDL-C when two grams of Niacin was used in 34 hyperlipidemic patients for six weeks. Our results do not match with results of research conducted by Boden WE et. al24 who proved that 2.5 grams Niacin decreased 10.99 mg/dl LDL-Cholesterol. HDL-C increase was only 1.11 mg/dl. These differences may be considered due to lack of physical exercise and no restriction of use of lipids in their diet. Taylor AJ et. al25 used Niacin 1.5 grams in 29 hyperlipidemic patients for 3 weeks. Patients reduced their LDL-C from 189.88 ±1.11 mg/dl to 187.87±0.99 mg/dl. Difference in their results and our results is due to less sample size, lesser duration of exposure of patients to drug and small amount of drug given in their patients. Mookr TT et. al26, Furhr GT27, Fekharj Y et. al28.
Gamdor VF and Rusdan T et al explained that Niacin is drug of choice for treating and prevention of dyslipidemia.

CONCLUSION
It was concluded from the research study that Kalonji and vitamin B-3 affects LDL-cholesterol potently and these hypolipidemic agents increase HDL-cholesterol moderately. These hypolipidemic agents may be used as alternative medications with good patient compliance.
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Table 1: LDL, HDL’s basic values (pre and after treatment) and their bio statistical significance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Day-0 values</th>
<th>Day-45 values</th>
<th>Change in basic values</th>
<th>Statistical significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Placebo (30)</td>
<td>LDL=c=189.15±3.90</td>
<td>LDL=c=186.75±2.08</td>
<td>2.40 &lt; 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HDL-c=36.11±2.11</td>
<td>HDL-c=37.17±1.51</td>
<td>1.06 &lt; 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo (30)</td>
<td>LDL=c=189.52±2.21</td>
<td>LDL=c=189.52±2.21</td>
<td>1.93 &lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo (30)</td>
<td>HDL-c=42.19±3.32</td>
<td>HDL-c=42.19±3.32</td>
<td>3.38 &lt; 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo (30)</td>
<td>LDL=c=185.61±3.43</td>
<td>LDL=c=185.61±3.43</td>
<td>27.04 &gt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo (30)</td>
<td>HDL-c=43.00±3.07</td>
<td>HDL-c=43.00±3.07</td>
<td>3.49 &gt; 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HDL and LDL are measured in mg/dL, n stands for sample size. p-value >0.05 indicate non-significant, <0.01 indicate significant and <0.001 indicate highly significant change in basic values